Occupational Choices and Liquidity Constraints in Developing Countries

Thése pour l'obtention du grade de Docteur en Sciences Économiques présentée et soutenue publiquement le 19 septembre 2024 par

Thiago Scarelli



$\textbf{labor} \rightarrow \textbf{liquidity}$

liquidity \rightarrow labor \rightarrow liquidity

Method

Summarize the workers' relative wealth from their observable living conditions in 1,313 regions of 46 developing countries.

Method

Summarize the workers' relative wealth from their observable living conditions in 1,313 regions of 46 developing countries.



Method

Summarize the workers' relative wealth from their observable living conditions in 1,313 regions of 46 developing countries.



Share of (\cdot) among poor workers

Method

Summarize the workers' relative wealth from their observable living conditions in 1,313 regions of 46 developing countries.



Share of (\cdot) among poor workers

Method

Infer the intertemporal priorities of own-account workers by comparing their occupational choice against their potential wage job opportunities.

Method

Infer the intertemporal priorities of own-account workers by comparing their occupational choice against their potential wage job opportunities.

1. Define an occupational choice rule

Method

Infer the intertemporal priorities of own-account workers by comparing their occupational choice against their potential wage job opportunities.

- 1. Define an occupational choice rule
- 2. Estimate labor market parameters for Brazil

Method

Infer the intertemporal priorities of own-account workers by comparing their occupational choice against their potential wage job opportunities.

- 1. Define an occupational choice rule
- 2. Estimate labor market parameters for Brazil
- 3. Infer intertemporal priorities that are consistent with the observed behavior



rate at which I find wage job opportunities





Discount rate (in percent per month)



Discount rate (in percent per month)



Discount rate (in percent per month)





Marginal association with discount rate lower bound (in percent per month)



Chapter 3: In practice, how much do workers value the liquidity of a given work arrangement?

Method

Elicit payment preferences using a survey experiment with over 14,000 ridesharing drivers in Brazil.







- •
12:29 at 🖛
As próximas perguntas pedem a sua opinião sobre modelos de recebimento.
Para alguns motoristas, é importante receber por suas corridas o quanto antes.
Outros dão preferência a um valor maior, mesmo que demore mais para cair na conta.
Se você pudesse escolher, qual dessas duas opções funcionaria melhor para você?
Prefiro R\$ 1.10 por km , depositado sempre no dia da corrida .
Prefiro R\$ 1.36 por km , depositado sempre 30 dias após a corrida .
Exemplo: ao terminar uma corrida de 10 km, você preferiria receber R\$ 11 ainda hoje, ou R\$ 13.6 daqui a 30 dias?
→



If you could choose, which of these two options would work best for you?

I prefer R\$ 1.00 per km paid on the day of the ride.

I prefer R\$ 1.48 per km paid 30 days after the ride.







Treatment A: Discuss potential liquidity sources

Imagine you received news of a **domestic emergency** (an urgent home repair, or a health treatment that cannot wait).

Because of this **you will have to disburse** R\$ 1,400 more than expected this week.

What is the first word that comes to your mind?

In practice, how would you cover this unexpected expense of R\$ 1,400 right now?

Treatment A: Discuss potential liquidity sources

Imagine you received news of a **domestic emergency** (an urgent home repair, or a health treatment that cannot wait).

Because of this **you will have to disburse** R\$ 1,400 more than expected this week.

What is the first word that comes to your mind?

In practice, how would you cover this unexpected expense of R\$ 1,400 right now?



Treatment B: Discuss the use of extra income

Imagine you received news of a **surprise payment** (the result of a lottery or an unexpected refund, for example).

Because of this **you will receive an extra deposit** of R\$ 1,400 this week.

What is the first word that comes to your mind?

In practice, what would you do with this unexpected income of R\$ 1,400 right now?

Treatment B: Discuss the use of extra income

Imagine you received news of a **surprise payment** (the result of a lottery or an unexpected refund, for example).

Because of this **you will receive an extra deposit** of R\$ 1,400 this week.

What is the first word that comes to your mind?

In practice, what would you do with this unexpected income of R\$ 1,400 right now?

extra remedu celebratio inside exit provisions anticipate invoice supermarket light finance return market because eavinge tranguility also material card achiovo clothing know blessing installment food excellent win right everything pavoff work invest wonderful value miracle place keep be he family losus amon improve child month thanks house thing me father first give travel deposit feed thank get vield fue take need lord thanks lookfor have OD boop future Carry money hoay settle late son surprised glory **CO** maturity luck enough fix remain until stop aift power come tax pending change dav vehicle figure save halleluiah great only thieway gratitude help not app lack credit daughter certainty mother leave stay maintenance happiness review emall situation arrive alleviate school installment weekly market safe remaining advance water monthly exnense alwavs legal nothing run document emergency doubt mistake laughter walk continue recognition ride something health want origin owe passenge unforeseen

	c WT	outcome: WTP interval		
	Difference in Means	Doubly Robust: Covariate Adj. via Regression and IPW	Doubly Robust: Covariate Adj. via Interval Reg. and IPW	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Treatment A: Unexpected expense discussion	-1.3 (0.7)	-1.5 (0.7)	-1.5 (0.7)	
Reference level: Control group mean	39.9 (0.7)	40.2 (0.6)	38.9 (0.6)	
Number of observations	8,142	8,142	8,142	

Treatment effect on the willingness to pay for same-day remuneration

	c WT	outcome: WTP interval		
	Difference in Means	Doubly Robust: Covariate Adj. via Regression and IPW	Doubly Robust: Covariate Adj. via Interval Reg. and IPW	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Treatment A:				
Unexpected expense discussion	-1.3	-1.5	-1.5	
	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.7)	
Treatment B:	. ,		()	
Unexpected income discussion	-0.7	-1.5	-1.4	
	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.6)	
Reference level:				
Control group mean	39.9	40.2	38.9	
	(0.7)	(0.6)	(0.6)	
Number of observations	8,142	8,142	8,142	

Treatment effect on the willingness to pay for same-day remuneration

Discussion

Intertemporal arbitrage should not matter in the labor market

Yet, work arrangements seem to take the role of financial instruments.

Discussion

Intertemporal arbitrage should not matter in the labor market

Yet, work arrangements seem to take the role of financial instruments.

Workers are willing to pay exorbitant prices for liquid jobs

Negative feedback may lead to a poverty trap, labor supply misallocation.

Discussion

Intertemporal arbitrage should not matter in the labor market

Yet, work arrangements seem to take the role of financial instruments.

Workers are willing to pay exorbitant prices for liquid jobs Negative feedback may lead to a poverty trap, labor supply misallocation.



Appendix:

When You Can't Afford to Wait for a Job: The Role of Time Discounting for Own-Account Workers in Developing Countries

Value of a wage job
$$ho \cdot {\it W}({\it w}) = {\it w} + \delta \cdot \Big({\it U} - {\it W}({\it w}) \Big)$$

Value of unemployment
$$ho \cdot \textit{U} = \textit{b} + \lambda \cdot \int_{\textit{w}_r}^\infty \left(\textit{W}(\textit{w}) - \textit{U}
ight) \textit{dF}(\textit{w})$$

Reservation wage
$$w_r = b + \frac{\lambda}{\delta + \rho} \cdot \int_{w_r}^{\infty} (w - w_r) dF(w)$$

Value of own-account work $\rho \cdot OAW = y$

OAW is chosen if
$$y > b + \frac{\lambda}{\delta + \rho} \cdot \int_{w_r}^{\infty} (w - w_r) dF(w)$$

The occupational choice rule

$$y > b + rac{\lambda}{\delta +
ho} \cdot \int_{w_r}^{\infty} (w - w_r) dF(w)$$

OAW preferable if jobs are scarce (λ small), unstable (δ big), or if present consumption is urgent (ρ big).

The occupational choice rule as a function of the discount rate

$$ho > rac{\lambda}{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{w}_r}^{\infty} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_r) dF(\mathbf{w}) - \delta$$

A sufficiently high urgency for consumption (ρ) can rationalize OAW for any level of earnings (y).

Estimating the labor market parameters using survey data for Brazil

$$\rho > \frac{\lambda}{y-b} \cdot \int_{w_r}^{\infty} (w-w_r) \, dF(w) - \delta$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\hat{\rho}_i > \frac{\mathbb{E}(\lambda \mid X_i)}{y_i - \mathbb{E}(b \mid X_i)} \cdot \left[\mathbb{E}(w \mid w > w_r, X_i) - \mathbb{E}(w_r \mid X_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w \ge w_r) \right] - \mathbb{E}(\delta \mid X_i)$$

- 1. y_i is directly observable for own-account workers.
- **2.** $\mathbb{E}(\lambda | X_i)$ is fit with an unemp. duration model and with $\mathbb{P}(w \ge w_r)$.
- 3. $\mathbb{E}(b | X_i)$ is assumed to be zero, the most frequent value.
- **4.** $\mathbb{E}(w | w > w_r, X_i)$ is fit with a Heckman selection model.
- 5. $\mathbb{E}(w_r | X_i)$ is fit with a quantile regression at the 10th centile.
- **6.** $\mathbb{P}(w \ge w_r)$ is calculated for a normal distribution of log wages.
- 7. $\mathbb{E}(\delta | X_i)$ is fit with a job duration model.

Appendix:

Workers' Preferences over Payment Schedules: Evidence from Ridesharing Drivers

Ridesharing drivers reflect the diversity of the Brazilian workforce...

- Mixed-race or black (62.8% among drivers vs. 54.4% among the adult urban workforce)
- ▶ 18 to 37 years old (52.4% vs. 49.7%)
- ► High school or less (63.1% vs. 66.2%)
- Adults in the houshold (2.4 vs. 2.5)
- **Kids in the houshold** (1.0 vs. 0.8)

- ... except that drivers are predominantly male.
- Men (93.2% vs. 54.8%)

Monthly income from ridesharing

Net monthly earnings: US\$ 900 PPP If main job: US\$ 1,000 (for 240 h/month) If secondary job: US\$ 640 (for 132 h/month)

Note: US\$ 1.00 = R\$ 2.50 adjusting for PPP.



Monthly income from ridesharing (in US\$ PPP)

Main reasons for working with ridesharing

(a) Main job drivers



(b) Secondary job drivers



Distribution of drivers over the indifference ranges



Payment preferences by demographics





Keywords associated with a strong preference for quick pay

how would you cover this unexpected expense?



Keywords associated with a strong preference for quick pay

what would you do with this unexpected income?



Effects on the time spent to choose a contract

	outcome: Seconds on Q1 OLS (1)	outcome: outcome: conds on Q1 Seconds on Q2 OLS OLS	outcome: Seconds on Q3 OLS	outcome: Total seconds OLS
		(2)	(3)	(4)
Treatment A:				
Unexpected expense discussion	2.5	1.1	1.1	5.0
	(0.9)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(1.5)
Treatment B:	, ,		, ,	, ,
Unexpected income discussion	0.9	0.8	1.3	3.0
	(1.1)	(0.5)	(0.3)	(1.8)
Reference level:				
Control group mean	49.9	22.5	15.8	90.1
	(1.0)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(1.5)
Number of observations	8,142	8,142	8,142	8,142

Attrition by treatment group

People are **more likely** to drop out after question on **unexp. expenses**, but this arm remains balanced on observables.

People are **less likely** to drop out after question on **unexp. income**; this arm is unbalanced on income (lower), other jobs (excess of only drivers), previous status (excess previously unemployed).



Sequence of questions